

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bac 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/784,233	02/14/2001	Shozo Nagano	30-5000-(4015)-Div2	3214
7590 06:03/2004		EXAMINER		
David G Latwesen PH D			IP, SIKYIN	
Wells St John				
601 West First Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 1300			1742	
Spokane, WA 99201			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	i					
· •		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/784,233	NAGANO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sikyin Ip	1742			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.  Or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timey within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)  🏹	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 M</u>	larch 2004.				
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> i	Claim(s) 65-72,74-77,81,82,84 and 85 is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 65-72,74-77,81,82,84 and 85 is/are re Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. ejected. or election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachmen						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 030304.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/784,233

Art Unit: 1742

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 65, 68, and 69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by JP 11204524 [see 0042].

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 09/784,233

Art Unit: 1742

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 66, 67, 70-72, 81, 82, and 85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over JP 11204524 in view of USP 6113761 to Kardokus et al.

JP 11204524 in [0042] disclose(s) the features including the claimed Cu target composition and resistivity except silent about the grain size. However, Kardokus in col. 1, lines 25-30 discloses grain size is known to be less than 50µm in the same field of endeavor or the analogous metallurgical art for uniform film thickness during deposition. Therefore, it is contemplated within ambit of ordinary skill artisan to recognize the Cu-Ag film of JP 11204524 would have the grain size in order to be benefited for the uniform film thickness and faster sputtering speed (Kardokus, col. 1, lines 25-30).

Claims 74-77, 81-82, and 84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over USP 6113761 to Kardokus in view of JP 01096374 and JP 11204524.

Kardokus disclose(s) the features including the claimed purity and resistivity (col. 1, lines 15-20), grain size (col. 1, lines 25-30), and stabilizers (col. 1, lines 62-67). The contents of stabilizers (such as Ag, Sn) disclosed by Kardokus is lower than the claimed contents. But, Kardokus in col. 5, lines 8-46 discloses the contents for the stabilizers

Application/Control Number: 09/784,233

Art Unit: 1742

are known to be higher than 1000 ppm in order to increase the recrystallization temperature of pure Cu. JP 01096374 (abstract) and JP 11204524 ([0042]) are cited to show higher contents of stabilizers such as Sn and Ag, respectively are known in the sputtering art of cited references. Therefore, optimize the result effective variable such as Sn and/or Ag are within ambit of ordinary skill artisan.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 65-72, 74-77, 81, 82, 84, and 85 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Applicant is reminded that when amendment and/or revision is required, applicant should therefore specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.121.

**Examiner Correspondence** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Ip whose telephone number is (571) 272-1241. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30 A.M. to 2:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Roy V. King, can be reached on (571)-272-1244.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. lp June 1, 2004 13

SIKYIN IP PRIMARY EXAMINER